Laplace Approximations for Bayesian Deep Learning

True Posterior of the Neural Network

Bayesian Deep learning

$$
p(y|x,\theta)\ =\ \prod_{i=1}^Np(y_i|f_\theta(x))\ :\ Likelihood
$$

 $p(\theta)$: Prior

$$
\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\mid \mathbf{d}\\ \mathbf{d}\mid \\ \mathbf{d}}}^{\mathbf{d}}\begin{cases} p(y|x)=\int_{\theta\in\Theta}p(y|x,\theta)p(\theta)d\theta \,:\, \textit{Evidence}/\textit{Marginal Likelihood} \\ \\ p(\theta|x,y)\,:\, \textit{Posterior} \end{cases}
$$

Local Approximation of the True Posterior

Laplace Approximation

$$
\mathcal{L}(\theta | x, y) \ = \ -\log p(\mathbf{y} | f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})) \, - \, \log p_A(\theta)
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}(\theta | x, y) \, \approx \, \mathcal{L}(\theta_{\mathcal{MAP}} | x, y) \,\, + \,\, \frac{1}{2} (\theta - \, \theta_{\mathcal{MAP}})^T \nabla_\theta^2 \mathcal{L} \Big|_{\theta_{\mathcal{MAP}}} (\theta - \, \theta_{\mathcal{MAP}})
$$

 $p(y|x,\theta)p_{\Lambda}(\theta) \approx \exp\left(-\mathcal{L}(\theta_{\mathcal{MAP}}|x,y)\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\theta-\theta_{\mathcal{MAP}})^T\nabla^2_{\theta}\mathcal{L}\Big|_{\theta_{\mathcal{MAP}}}(\theta-\theta_{\mathcal{MAP}})\right)$

Laplace Approximation

● With this approximation the evidence becomes a Gaussian Integral which is tractable

$$
\int_{\theta \in \Theta} p(y|x,\theta)p(\theta) d\theta \approx \int_{\theta \in \Theta} \exp(-\mathcal{L}(\theta_{\mathcal{MAP}}|x,y)) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\theta - \theta_{\mathcal{MAP}})^T \nabla_{\theta}^2 \mathcal{L} \Big|_{\theta_{\mathcal{MAP}}} (\theta - \theta_{\mathcal{MAP}})\right) d\theta
$$

$$
= \exp(-\mathcal{L}(\theta_{\mathcal{MAP}}|x, y)) \int_{\theta \in \Theta} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\theta - \theta_{\mathcal{MAP}})^T \nabla_{\theta}^2 \mathcal{L} \Big|_{\theta_{\mathcal{MAP}}} (\theta - \theta_{\mathcal{MAP}})\right) d\theta
$$

Laplace Approximation

● Under the laplace approximation the True Posterior is approximated by the following Gaussian:

$$
q_\text{LLA}(\bm{\theta} \vert \mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{N} \left(\bm{\theta} \bigm\vert \bm{\theta}_\text{\tiny MAP}, \left(\alpha \mathbb{I} + \text{GGN} \bm{\theta}_\text{\tiny MAP} \right)^{-1} \right) \\ \text{GGN} \bm{\theta}_\text{\tiny MAP} = \mathbf{J}^\top_{\bm{\theta}_\text{\tiny MAP}} \mathbf{H}_{\bm{\theta}_\text{\tiny MAP}} \mathbf{J}_{\bm{\theta}_\text{\tiny MAP}} \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times P}.
$$

Why would we want to do this?

● Bayesian Model Averaging: Marginalize over the posterior for better calibrated predictions

● Principled Uncertainty Quantification

● Marginal Likelihood Optimization: Model Selection without Cross-Validation

Linear Algebra

Size of the Jacobian Matrix

Sampling is hard!

- Too big to instantiate
- Direct Solvers are impractical

But Matrix-Vector Products are cheap

- Matrix Vector Products only require Jacobian Vector Products and Vector Jacobian Products(Autodiff)
- We can use Iterative Solvers!

Thank You!